

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,176	03/01/2000	Takayoshi Sasaki	PM 266297	3428
7590 09/21/2005			EXAMINER	
PAUL E. WH	ITE, JR.	LE, HOA T		
MANELLI DE	NISON & SELTER, PLLO			
2000 M STREE	ET, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEVENTH FLO	OOR	1773		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	/
D	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/516,176	SASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	H. T. Le	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	<u>une 2005</u> .	•				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8 and 10-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4,9 and 17-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/516,176

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-4, 9 and 17-22 in the reply filed on June 15, 2005 is acknowledged. Applicants' request for joining claim 16 to group I invention has been considered and found persuasive. Thus, the elected invention now includes claims 1-4, 9 and 16-22. However, applicant's traversal as to the restriction of other claims is not found persuasive. Applicant argued that claims 5, 6 and 8-10 "depend upon base claim 4", and the common feature that claims 5, 6 and 8-10 shared with claim 4 is "exfoliated titania sol". Therefore, Applicant concluded that "exfoliated titania sol" is a "corresponding special technical features under PCT Rule 13.2." There exists at least one method to obtain "exfoliated titania sol" that is materially different from the process defined in claims 5, 6 and 8-10. Therefore, though the name of the material may be the same, the processes of making the material are different. Therefore, there exists no corresponding special technical feature between claim 4 and claims 5, 6 and 8-10.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Accordingly, claims 5-8 and 10-16 stand withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/516,176 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

### Double Patenting

3. Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 5,863,514. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reasons explained herein.

The "porous body consisting an aggregate" as claimed in the patent claim 1 is same as the "hollow particles" as recited in the instant claim. The dimensions recited in patent claim 1 are within the claimed range recited in the instant claims. The "lamina shaped particles" is just another way to say "laminated particles stuck together" as claimed in the instant claim 1. Claims 2-4 and 9 of the present application are essentially equivalent to claims 2-4 of the patent.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Sasaki patent (US 5,863,514).

Claim 1: The Sasaki patent teaches a porous body of titanium oxide having a particle dimensions of 10-30 nm in thickness and 0.5 to 1 µm in width. See claim 1. In other words, the Sasaki patent teaches a hollow (i.e. porous body) titanium oxide particle having the thickness and outer diameter (width) within the claimed ranged. The 'porous body' is defined to consist of aggregate of lamina shaped titanium oxide particles. See claim 1. The "lamina shaped particles" is just another way to say "laminated particles stuck together" as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/516,176 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

Claims 3-4 and 9: See claims 2-4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Sasaki patent (US 5,863,514) as applied to claims 1-4 and 9 above, and further in view of the discussion below.

The Sasaki patent teaches the "hollow particles of titanium dioxide" as claimed. The porous body taught in the Sasaki patent is an aggregate. Pulverizing of an aggregate to obtain acicular or platelet powder is well-known and frequently done in the art if acicular or platelet shaped particles are desired. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary in the art to further pulverize the porous body of titanium dioxide taught in the Sasaki patent in order obtain flaky powder that is highly suitable as component in cosmetic composition. Thin, flaky titanium dioxide has been long used as UV-absorbing component in cosmetic composition.

- 7. Reference not relied upon is cited as art of interest.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/516,176

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773